

Peaceful Fiqh; Jürgen Habermas's Hermeneutical Studies in the Verses of *Jihad*

Kurnia Muhajarah¹ & Alifa Nur Fitri²

^{1,2} Universitas Islam Negeri Walisongo Semarang, Indonesia Email: ¹kurniamuhajarah@walisongo.ac.id

Abstract

The most crucial problem in analyzing problematic violence in Islam is when relating it with text religion. Problematic violence will appear more paradoxical and crucial when trying to legitimize al-Qur'an. When violence and terrorism get legitimacy from al-Qur'an, it will lead to a very risky conclusion; namely, Islam supports violence and terrorism. This is where the hermeneutics of Jurgen Habermas tries to review the problem of interpretation of these verses, especially concerning the theory of communication actions which will be used as an analytical knife in this study. The problem formulation is; how to read verse jihad without using instrumental interpretation and more developed paradigm communicative hermeneutics. The result of this research is that Jurgen Habermas's view will presuppose the formation of an intersubjective relationship in social society. The act of communication aims to reach an understanding between individuals. In relation to religious tolerance, the expected communication is a dialogue in reaching agreement on claims of accuracy in the underlying values of each religion on how to build agreement on each religion and that peace is beautiful and violence is terrible. This claim necessitates an interactive dialogue that explores holistic religious values but avoids discussing truth claims considered nonnegotiable religious doctrines. When it is applied in the interpretation of the jihad verse, the conclusion that we hope for is the jihad verse cannot abolish the universal value contained in the peace verse.

Keywords: Peaceful Fiqh, Jurgen Habermas, Hermeneutic, Verses of Jihad, Communication

Introduction

It is common knowledge that humans and religion cannot be separated (Muhajarah & Bariklana, 2021; Muhajarah et al., 2022). The importance of religion is increasingly needed; interest in religion increased in the 20th century, especially in relation to matters of meaning, the purpose of life, ethics, morals, and values (Jalaluddin, 2021: 20). Humans agree that one of the basic human intuitive instincts in religion is spiritual, a clear and deep feeling, does not look at the material and can move positive relationships in society. Mutual respect, respect between fellow human beings, and giving help are values instilled in every religion (Muhajarah, 2016). All religious teachings have the same goal: peace and non-violence, mutual help, and forgiveness because all religions on this earth teach the goodness and peace of human

life (Soebahar et al., 2020).

Therefore, the problem of violence and terrorism is not something relevant if it is associated with Islam (Muhajarah, 2016a; Muhajarah, 2018). Because of their meaning alone, it has been shown that both are contradictory. Islam means peace and safety, so that will be contradictory if Islam and violence are juxtaposed simultaneously. Even paradox that's what appears when discussing problem this. This does not mean that Islam substantially contains violent values. Still, the problem of violence and terrorism appears incidentally when interacting with religious texts, especially with regard to verses in the Qur'an that indicate violence in Islam (Muhajarah, 2019). Verses become more problematic when interpreted without seeing the situation and condition. So the formation ideology of violence and terrorism starts from interpreting its religious verse (Muhajarah, 2022).

The most crucial problem in analyzing problematic violence in Islam is when relating it to text religion, and those are in the Qur'an and the Hadith of the Prophet Muhammad. Problematic violence will appear more paradoxical and crucial when base ideological on problematic than trying legitimized al-Qur'an. When violence and terrorism get legitimacy from religious texts, it will lead to a very risky conclusion; namely, Islam supports violence and terrorism. That conclusion possibility big could be achieved when the interpretation of verse al-Qur'an is conducted by arbitrarily and textual and does not heed the situation and condition incident historically from a determination of law. From the interpretation here, ideology violence is built with quibble that al-Qur'an alone gives legitimacy and command to action violence and terrorism. The irony again, with existence interpretation unilateral, will give birth to stereotyping towards Islam as a blocker of tolerance and kingpin of world terrorism.

This is where the hermeneutics of Jurgen Habermas tries to review the problem of interpretation of these verses, especially about the theory of communication actions which will be used as an analytical knife in this study. So there are some anxiety or problem formulations that are the subject of the study as well as being a limiter of the study. Among the formulation of the problem are; how to read verse jihad without instrumental interpretation and more developed paradigm hermeneutics communicative. A constructed interpretation from braid more relationship humane, deep relation that expected will bear from a desire for attitude

each other respect, protect and care for each other understand.

Result and Discussion

Jürgen Habermas's Hermeneutical Studies

Habermas' hermeneutics is dialectical hermeneutics, in which the subject (hermeneut/interpreter) and object (which is interpreted) have the right to present themselves openly. There is no domination because there is dynamic-constructive criticism. This critical-communicative discourse can be a valuable lesson in developing Islamic studies, especially in interpreting the Qur'an.

As supported by Harun Nasution in realizing a communicative Qur'an, humans are given the freedom to interpret the Qur'an, regardless of the Qur'an's superstitions, which are already considered holy and even anti-critic. The truth of the Qur'an is the truth according to human standards. The Qur'an cannot show its truth without including the human view of truth. So the truth of the Qur'an is a human truth (Muhajarah & Hayyuningtyas, 2022). It is only natural that humans are given space in interpret the Qur'an (Muhajarah, 2016b).

Harun Nasution argues that the Qur'an is imperfect (in quotes). The reason is, *first*, the verses of the Qur'an that speak in the aspects of science, technology, and socialism, are not systematically and comprehensively –if not to say they do not exist. *Second*, there are benefits if the Qur'an is not perfect. Because that is where the divine message is implied, namely, dynamic human beings (Nasution, 2015: 21-28).

Basically, Habermas' hermeneutics cannot be separated from the concept of understanding (*verstehen*) and explaining (*eklarung*) as proposed by Dilthey from the start. These two terms are very meaningful and important to him. Eklarung's focus is on being able to explain issues related to the natural sciences, while Verstehen's focus is on issues related to *Geisteswissenschften* (humanitarian sciences or social studies). However, Habermas maintains that earlier critical theory has failed to explain the broader conception of ratio. The solution proposed by Habermas is to change the emphasis of philosophy from subject-object relations to intersubjective communication in his book *Knowledge and Human Interest*, he states that the existence of society depends on two actions: work or instrumental action and social interaction or communicative action, these two forms of action form the principle of different human interests. This in turn will lead to the formation of a completely

different kind of knowledge. Hermeneutics and critical assessment methods whose purpose is to understand the other party are born from communicative action. While the study of empirical analysis which aims to control the processes that occur objective knowledge is born from instrumental action (Nasir, 2004: 34).

According to Habermas, the problem of communication is a fundamental problem that affects the construction of hermeneutic thinking considering that hermeneutics itself is always in the context of communication. Habermas emphasizes the existence of continuous communication and dialogue to create a common understanding or consensus about a matter (Sumaryono, 2019: 94). Habermas's thinking has come out of the traditional philosophical framework that focuses on "consciousness" towards "language" as a means of communication, as well as the basis for the theory of "communicative action" which is formulated (Supena, 2022: 135).

The theory of "communicative action" which has been conceptualized by Habermas influenced his view of the method of understanding in hermeneutics. According to Habermas, "to understand" means to understand the meaning according to tradition, so that a meaning will be found if the hermeneut understands the meaning of his time. Therefore, the task of hermeneutics is to communicate the two worlds of meaning and the results of their interpretation are needed to understand social behavior that is directed by the meanings that apply today (Sumaryono, 2019: 94).

According to Habermas, "communicative interaction" is an autonomous space that allows a historical cultural tradition to be transmitted and social relations to be regulated institutionally. Through the idea of "communicative interaction", Habermas intends to make a paradigm shift in understanding the dimensions of praxis from the "work" paradigm to the "communication" paradigm. This is the difference between Habermas's thoughts and those of the previous characters. As before, Hegel distinguished the interpretation of work and language (interaction) in the context of his idealistic philosophy. Hegel interprets work and language in the context of the self-formation (*Bildungprozess*) of spirit or ratio to be absolute. However, by Karl Marx, the process of self-formation was placed in the historical context of an empirical society (Supena, 2022: 116). Although Marx still recognized the difference

between work and communication, Marx put too much emphasis on work, while the field of interaction was not understood.

In Habermas' view, every action is teleological and purposeful. Because an actor will take actions that are expected to produce success and certain goals in the end (Schecter, 2010: 186). This social action also requires the interaction of two or more social action agents to achieve understanding and coordination. In realizing this coordination and understanding, Habermas divides two mechanisms of social action; (1) consent (consensus), (2) influence. Agreement requires an understanding between two agents in interpreting a condition. In this approval or licensing process, an intersubjective dialogue is built. Meanwhile, the influence process is through the mechanism of persuasion and is very vulnerable to domination and discrimination (Owen, 2012: 35-36).

Fundamentally, this theory of communicative action contains the idea of distinguishing between two concepts of rationality that compose a knowledge in order to direct an action (Fitri & Muhajarah, 2021). *First*, success-oriented cognitive-instrumental rationality. And *second*, communicative rationality which emphasizes the goal to understand each other which is conceptualized as a process to reach agreement between subject conversations to harmonize interpretations (Pusey, 2021: 109).

According to Habermas, in understanding the meaning of the "interlocutor", it takes an effort called validity claims. The claims (testimony/consensus) are divided into four types, namely: 1). *Claims of Truth*, namely agreements about the natural and objective world. Objective validity that "What I say is true or in accordance with facts". 2). *Claims of Rightness*, namely agreement about norms in the social world. Subjective validity that "I am saying what I really think". 3). *Claims of Authenticity or Claim of Sincerety*) the congruence between one's inner world and one's expressions Intersubjective validity that "I am doing what is right for me in a given environment". 4) *Claim of Comprehensibility*, namely the ability to explain the various claims and reach agreement Comprehensive validity is agreement between various communicating subjects (Habermas, 2017: 37).

It can be emphasized, that "understanding" in Habermas' view requires dialogue, because the process of understanding is a process of "cooperation" in which

questions relate to each other simultaneously in the world of life (*lebenswelt*) which includes the objective world, the subjective world and the social world. The objective world is the totality of all truths that make true statements possible. The social world is the totality of all interpersonal or personal relationships that are considered legitimate and orderly. The subjective world is the totality of the experience of the object of speech or "my own world", "my own experience" (Kaelan, 1998: 98).

Dialogic theme in *theory of communicative action* can found in reflection hermeneutics, because when the interpreter makes analysis, he permanent is at at level action communicative with interact aspect language, action and experience. in between third aspect that language get prime place. However thus, for reach understanding with intermediary language required direction, that is sort of mechanism coordinated action, so that even though use consensus certain, the interpreter can coordinate herself going to certain direction destination (Sumaryono, 2019: 87-88).

The Verses of Jihad

Etiquette deal with problem violence specifically jihad in al-Qur'an, there will be two sides to the problem. In one side al-Qur'an instruct for be patient and apply fair to group that opposite with Islam (Muhajarah & Mudhofi, 2021). On the other side, actually there is the verse that negate and command Muslims for fighting people who none in line with Islam (Ghoni et al., 2020). This is what becomes location problem in respond and interpret this verse. Before more far analyze this problematic then will be included a number of verses in al-Qur'an yang indicates existence order violence and terrorism in al-Qur'an or verse *qital* (Muhajarah, 2020). Next from this verse of jihad is what is made as tool legitimacy for fundamentalists for doing violence and terrorism, they are:

"And fight them that until there is no more defamation, and religion only for God alone. If them quit (from disbelief), then verily God is great Seeing what are they do it" (QS: al-Anfal, 8: 39).

"Fight those who do not believe in Allah and the Last Day, those who do not forbid what Allah and His Messenger have forbidden and they do not believe in the true religion (the religion of Allah), (i.e. people) have been given a book, until they pay the *jizyah* (tax) obediently while they are in a state of submission." (Surat at-Taubah, 9:29).

"When already finished those forbidden months, then kill the polytheists anywhere you see you them, catch them, take them, and spy on the place reconnaissance. If them repent and build pray and pay zakat, then give freedom to them for walking Indeed, God is great Forgiver again Maha Loving." (QS. at-Taubah, 9: 5)

The verses above are known as 'saif verses' or sword verses and these verses are considered as a legitimate tool to carry out acts of violence against non-Muslims. Muslims and things to judge violate Islamic law.

It will be even more problematic when the verse is confronted with verses that command peace, namely:

"So forgive and let it go they, until God brings His command. Truly God is great power above everything something." (QS: al-Baqara, 2: 109)

"And if God had willed, they would not have been associated with them, and We would not have made you a guardian over them, and you would not be a guardian over them" (QS: al-An'am, 6: 107).

"Then be patient you (Muhammad) above what are they say and leave it them by good way". (QS: al-Muzzammil, 73: 10).

"Be forgive and tell people to do what is right, and don't care about stupid people. (QS: al-A'raf: 7:199).

Responding to the verses above, Islamic scholars give different views in interpretation, one of which is controversial. Part great Islamic scholar think that verse jihad has cancel content law from verse peace. In term Islamic law, paradigm the called as *draft text*. Canceled verse the law, is mansukh verse, whereas verse that cancels law another verse is called as *nasikh*. By etymological *nasakh* could means

cancel something and replace it with another, move something to another place, and remove something with use something else (Baghdady, n.d: 39-40).

The consequence produced when the concept of *nasakh* is applied in the process of interpreting jihad verses, may be that peace verses no longer have legal value in Islam. Until verse the said no can made as foundation in an ideology, and finally formation character late religious education is not based from value peace and violence.

First, some scholars argue that the jihad verse invalidates the law contained in the peace verse, because the strength and power of the Muslims at that time had stabilized, while the strength of the polytheists had weakened (Baghdady, n.d: 79). In surah at-Taubah: 9:29, the command of jihad becomes absolute and general to who only those outside of Islam. Qurtuby say that verse the has instruct to Muslims for fight people outside of Islam, because disbelief them. Use of the word scribe in verse that just respect to the holy book them, however because they has change and desecrate the scriptures the finally they must fought. View first this conclude that verse jihad truly cancel content law in verse peace.

Second, in contrast to the first view, this opinion actually considers factor situation and condition in understand verse al-Qur'an and text religious by general (Qurtuby, n.d: 162). In Suyuthi's opinion that verse jihad the cancel content existing law in verse peace. Suyuthi see Among verse jihad and verse peace still Keep going apply in accordance with condition Muslims. When people Islam in state weak so content law in verse jihad suspended practice, however when strength people Islam already stable so they Required fighting the disbelievers as ordered in al-Qur'an. Suyuthi no use term *nasakh* which means cancellation law, will but he use term munsa who can means suspension law (Suyuthi, n.d: 1438).

Third, while other views regarding nasakh, Mu'tazilah argue that all orders that command something that has the essence of goodness, then the command cannot be enforced or canceled by law, for example, recognizing the existence of God, being fair and grateful for God's favors (Ghazali, n.d: 93). Likewise, on the contrary, all prohibitions that prohibit actions that have the essence of evil, then the prohibition cannot be canceled by law, for example, disbelief, wrongdoing and telling lies. The Mu'tazilites further argue that it is impossible for God to charge His servants to do

disgraceful acts and it is also impossible for God to forbid His servants to be good. The reason the Mu'tazilites think so is because they firmly believe that understanding God's word is not as difficult as understanding human speech, because in understanding human speech, one is not able to definitively determine the meaning of the speaker's intended utterance. Not close possibility, that the speaker has attitude opposite psychology with what is spoken. For example, can just a yes something however in his heart it turns out secretly he precisely no agreed. Whereas in word Lord no like that, God with very clear has say that He love kindness and very hate crime (Zayd, 2018: 89). Those phenomena are representation from ideology and attitude Muslims against non-Muslims.

Analysis of the Interpretation of the Verses of Jihad

It seems that the verses of jihad will forever lead to violence and hinder the construction of peace between religious communities, as long as the interpretation is built from an attitude of hostility, condescension and suspicion towards adherents of other religions, and the same is true for other religions. Supposition of a new approach is necessary in interpret verse of jihad by specifically and religious texts general related with relation with followers of other religions (Streusand: 1997; Hasan: 2006). Approach is that me born? a more tolerant paradigm, inclusive, and open for difference opinion and more for difference belief. Approach this will give a street new in deal with text vulnerable religion to problem violence (Muhajarah, 2018b).

Through Habermas's theory of hermeneutics, especially with regard to communicative (*dialectical*) actions, it will provide a hope to change the paradigm that has been rampant among clergy. Theory action communicative, as discussed next, will give a paradigm in interaction between people diverse religions. This theory will give view new for the followers of other religions in see arrangement the reality that lasts this only divided two; evil and good.

Habermas thought that would study in this paper is development of *public communicative*. So that the suppressor is how build a capable ratio rely on public communicative. A action capable realized in level reality when action the has go through the process interpretation to situation. From the process of analyzing and interpreting situation next will give birth to an action (Owen, 2012: 35). Even score

from action the will very depends on the underlying ratio interpretation process, so that ratio that only prioritize success and success will very susceptible for To do domination and discrimination. Importance Habermas 's thinking lies at this point. With start analyze ratio so score from the action will look. Action is reflection from paradigm base thinking someone, and paradigm the is built from interpretation to the situation that there is.

Overview of the third form above interpretation could said as representation attitude each group in Islam when interact with non-Muslims. Complexity from problem this the more increase because received or whether or not third the opinion above, is also very influenced by policy political from development interpretation that Mu'tazilah for example, is one sect in discriminated Islam consequence existence discrimination intellectual from opponent debate them. One form from discrimination intellectual is naming sect that alone with The Mu'tazilites who simple could interpreted as the moving group go from crowd. Finally naming this manipulated as the group that move from truth (Nassyar, 2015: 376). So that phobia occurs and when follow or adhere to opinion them.

Representation majority Muslims are in opinion first and second, however sadly Becomes a ideology in formation attitude interactive between religious people. *Exclusive interpretation* in deal with difference and plurality. Muslims in second the opinion above is chosen people from God, in charge for fight and stop all shape and type kufr above this earth. Ideology this will very thick with dimensions less exclusivity value difference. With this exclusive ideology, differences are not seen as a result of cultural wealth, but differences are an anomaly that must be avoided, in order to realize an absolute unification in every aspect of life.

However, the Mu'tazilites emphasized the universal value and holistic value of the message of the Qur'an. So that the Mu'tazilah are not in a hurry in establishing a certain law in the Qur'an, regardless of the situation and context in which the law applies. In other words, in determination law a order in the Koran, no necessarily applied without through analysis logical to relevance law the with situation, conditions and context from that law. With existence contextualization to every order in the Qur'an, then relevance Al-Qur'an will the more seen with clear. This no means that application law in the Qur'an could conducted with arbitrarily and at will heart.

However, contextualization the must pass qualification certain one among them, that determination law the must conducted based on observation on reality that has happen. In addition, the contextuality of certain verses in the Qur'an must be based on and sourced from historical events that occurred at the time of the Prophet, or at least the implications of certain events. In short, a command in the verse of the Qur'an must have: potency for contextualized or relevant with situation and conditions certain (Muhsin, 1996: 15-16).

There is a potential contextuality to the law in the jihad verses above. This is reinforced by the opinion of the Mu'tazilah that the particular message cannot erase the law contained in the universal message. This means that the message contained in the jihad verse is particular and cannot erase the universal message contained in the peace verse. Because, God wills for the plenary goodness on this earth, and one of the forms of the plenary goodness is the creation of peace between human beings. Thus the message of peace is a universal message that cannot be abolished or legalized by a particular and temporal jihad order.

In Habermas' theory, the real function of instrumental rationality is to determine the appropriate means to achieve certain goals. Thus, means only remain means and never serve as ends. But at a crisis level, the means turn into goals and even goals are forgotten due to the importance of achieving success. If this concept is applied in reading the verses of jihad, then on a normal level, jihad is only a means of self-defense in order to achieve the goals of peace and social stability (Bayne, 2015). Meanwhile, at the extreme level, jihad is no longer a means, but has turned into a goal. The jihad was once only a suggestion instrument in achieving the goals of the peace process between Muslims and non-Muslims. Instead, his position changed to a goal, jihad turned into a goal to dichotomize right and wrong, disbelievers and believers, lucky and losers and winners and losers. In fact, peace, which is a fundamental value in every religion, cannot be placed in the right position (Muhajarah & Fabriar, 2021).

Beside that, goal from religion in the form of peace and justice equally for people human, embodied with means certain. Now destination the already no capable seen again in social reality. In fact, there is a reversal, the instruments that were previously used to achieve the goals of religion, have now become the center of religious goals (Muhajarah, 2019). Religious doctrines and teachings are actually

intended to support rationalization means in reach purpose. That is, religious doctrine is intended to legitimize violence by using religious texts, either in the form of one-sided interpretations or worse, manipulation of the text with a specific purpose.

From the interpretation of the jihad verse that has been described previously, it can be seen that the opinion that says the jihad verse cancels the legal content of the peaceful verse has been infected by the instrumental paradigm. This opinion no longer heeds the purpose of religion itself, namely peace and justice that is equitable for mankind. The value contained in the verse of peace no longer has meaning, because verse the no capable offer success or even superiority in monopolize truth. With make verse jihad as shield, then seen group this attempted to do dogmatization will truth its exclusive. Life and life other people objectified and considered as something not valuable. people non-Muslim, considered as infidels and means in reach a destination heaven is there in fantasy them. In other words, interpretation this presupposes that with keep going relevant content of law in jihad verses, then satisfaction selfishness the truth they have capable Keep going continues, and of course power and domination always forever for them. It means, war verse capable keep going ensure that truth in hand them, and that capable give calm in their life. Interpretation second no different with attitude from position on interpretation first. Even interpretation second more cunning and clever in process their instrumental interests. So that they could hiding in attitude resignation they are camouflaged.

While the Mu'tazilites are of the opinion that all orders that command something that has an essence of goodness, then the order cannot be enforced or canceled by law, actions that have an essence of goodness, for example, knowing the existence of God, being fair and grateful for God's favors. Likewise, vice versa, all prohibitions that prohibit actions that have the essence of evil, then the prohibition cannot be canceled, actions that have the essence of evil, for example, disbelief, acts of wrongdoing and telling lies. The Mu'tazilites are of the opinion that it is impossible for God to charge His servants to do disgraceful acts and it is also impossible for God to forbid His servants to be good.

The Mu'tazilah dared to think so because they firmly believed that understanding God's word was not as difficult as understanding human speech,

because there were so many processes that had to be passed, especially how to build understanding with the listeners. In the process of reach understanding, yes so inhibited by the mechanism wrong communication, for example lies and coercion. Whereas in understand word Lord no like that, understand Lord really easy. God with very clear has say that He love kindness and very hate crime, and no possible Lord lie when say statement it.

From the intersubjective point of view of the interpreters, it is possible to dialogue some of the problematics of the war verses, namely; First, it is when the war verse is clashed with the verse that commands to maintain peace. Most Islamic scholars see that the peace verse is invalidated by the war verse. Meanwhile, in the view of the Mu'tazilah, that every command that leads to actions that contain the essence of goodness, the order cannot be enforced or canceled. So that the verse of peace contained in the Qur'an cannot be invalidated by the verse of war, because essentially the order to spread peace is a good deed and it cannot be invalidated by the order of war which may only apply temporally.

Second, Mu'tazilah with loud think that understand and interpret word Lord easier from understanding meaning words human. in one side Lord communicate with man use language humans, on the other hand understand, analyze and interpret word Lord more easy than understanding words and meaning human, desire Lord has clear, that He want universal goodness throughout universe this and not possible Lord lie about that, even Lord no expect whatever besides that universal goodness. When your view on Mu'tazilah in this war verse, then will clear that Lord want existence peace in nature As for order existing war time that could said as state facing emergency Muslims time it, and conditions the require Muslims for lift weapons. So it can be concluded that the legal content in the verse is only specifically for emergency situations faced by Muslims, when their land is confiscated, their country is colonized or their freedom is trampled on. peace and make peace.

Third, for build peace and tolerance between people religious necessary intersubjective dialogue that is dialogue the explore claim awakened normativity from the world subjective. In other words, a dialogue about truth in religious teachings certain no need questionable again, but what is necessary explored how claim accuracy from norm ethics capable built. The dialogue requires that each

religious adherent can accept that violence and terrorism that's wrong. Dialogue like this is what it should be must proclaimed by all religions, in order to be able to realize room able public accommodate all form existing differences.

Be found explanatory verses about the character of Islam as a preaching religion that states that no there is coercion in religion. Nope there is one even a verse in the Qur'an that says that war that intended for force people to converted to Islam. That's one of them conclusion from research Sheikh Mahmud Syaltut, inside His book is *al-Qur'an wa al-Qital*. Sheikh Syaltut also explained that purpose war is for stop tyranny and persecution, for create peace and religious tranquility.

Conclusion

Through Jurgen Habermas's view, it would presuppose the formation of a relationship intersubjective in the social public. Action communication aims to reach an understanding between individuals. Relation with religious tolerance, expected communication is an inner dialogue reach deals with claim accuracy in the underlying value of each religion. It means building a deal with every religion, that peace that is beautiful and that bad violent. Claim this necessary for an interactive dialogue that explores values holistic religious, but avoid discussing claim considered truth as certain religious doctrines that do not could negotiable again. When that is applied in the interpretation of verse war, then our conclusion is hope is the universal value contained in verse peace no could remove with verse war, even verse fight actually that must is temporal.

Bibliography

Baghdady, A.M.A.Q. (n.d.). *Nasikh wa Mansukh* Hilmy Kamal As'ad Abdul Hady (ed.). Daar 'Adawy.

Baynes, K. (2015). *Habermas*. London Routledge

Fitri, A. N., & Muhajarah, K. (2021). Pengaplikasian Elaborated Likelihood Model dalam Strategi Komunikasi Kampanye "Ingat Pesan Ibu." *Jurnal Komunikasi*, 15(2), 115–130. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.21107/ilkom.v15i2.11471

Ghazali, A. H.M. (n.d.). min Ushul Figh (H. bin Z. Hafizh (ed.); 8th ed.).

Ghoni, A., Soebahar, M. E., & Muhajarah, K. (2020). Protection Model Concerning Children Dealing with The Law in Central Java, Indonesia. *ICON-ISHIC 2020, October 14, Semarang, Indonesia*. https://doi.org/DOI 10.4108/eai.14-10-2020.2303829

- Habermas, J. (2017). Teori Tindakan Komunikatif II: Kritik atas Rasio Fungsionalis. Kreasi Wacana.
- Hasan, N. (2006). *Laskar Jihad: Islam Militancy and the Quest for Identity in Post-New Order Indonesia*. Newyork. Cornell University Press
- Jalaluddin. (2021). Teologi Pendidikan. PT. RajaGrafindo Persada.
- Kaelan. (1998). Filsafat Bahasa: Masalah dan Perkembangannya. Paradigma.
- Muhajarah, K. & Hayyuningtyas, K. (2022). Peningkatan Kualitas Pengajar al-Qur'an melalui Seminar Pembinaan Tahsin Asatidz LPQ Kecamatan Mijen oleh Badko LPQ Kota Semarang. *Martabe: Jurnal Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat*, 5(6), 2073–2081. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.31604/jpm.v5i6.2073-2081
- Muhajarah, K., Saekan, M., Ramadhani, S., Kusnanto, C., & Hasanah, S. (2022). Peran Agama, Pendidikan dan Teknologi bagi Masyarakat dalam Mencegah Psikosomatik Covid-19. *Paedagoria: Jurnal Kajian, Penelitian Dan Pengembangan Kependidikan,* 13(2), 182–186. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.31764/paedagoria.v13i2.8492
- Muhajarah, K. (2016a). Kekerasan Terhadap Perempuan dalam Rumah Tangga. *Sawwa*.
- Muhajarah, K. (2016b). Konsep Doa: Studi Komparasi Konsep Do'a Menurut M. Quraish Shihab dan Yunan Nasution dan Relevansinya dengan Tujuan Pendidikan Islam. *HIKMATUNA*, 2(2). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.28918/hikmatuna.v2i2.960
- Muhajarah, K. (2016c). PENDIDIKAN TOLERANSI BERAGAMA PERSPEKTIF TUJUAN PENDIDIKAN ISLAM. *An-Nuha*.
- Muhajarah, K. (2018a). AKIBAT HUKUM PERCERAIAN BAGI ANAK DAN ISTRI YANG DISEBABKAN OLEH KEKERASAN DALAM RUMAH TANGGA: Studi Kasus di Pengadilan Tinggi Agama Semarang. *Sawwa: Jurnal Studi Gender*. https://doi.org/10.21580/sa.v12i3.2092
- Muhajarah, K. (2018b). Krisis Manusia Modern dan Pendidikan Islam. *Al Ta'dib, 7*(2), 188–204. http://ejournal.unhasy.ac.id/index.php/al-tadib/issue/view/51
- Muhajarah, K. (2019). WAJAH ANAK LAPAS: Pendidikan Agama dan Keberagamaan Anak Didik Pemasyarakatan di Lapas Kedungpane Semarang. UIN Walisongo Semarang.
- Muhajarah, K. (2020). Genealogi dan Dinamika Perkembangan Paham dan Gerakan Radikal di Indonesia. In *Modul Perkuliahan*.
- Muhajarah, K. (2022). DIMENSI ISLAM DAN MODERASI BERAGAMA. Haura Utama.
- Muhajarah, K. & Bariklana, M. N. (2021). Agama, Ilmu Pengetahuan dan Filsafat. *Jurnal Mu'allim*.
- Muhajarah, K. & Fabriar, S. R. (2021). 'MENOLAK LUPA': POTRET KEPEMIMPINAN POLITIK PEREMPUAN LINTAS SEJARAH. *Jurnal Politik Walisongo*, *3*(2), 142–158. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.21580/jpw.v3i2.11764
- Muhajarah, K. & Mudhofi. (2021). Islam Indonesia: Meneguhkan Spirit Moderasi

- Walisongo dalam Bingkai Toleransi di Indonesia. In Moh. Sya'roni (Ed.), *Meneguhkan Spirit Moderasi Walisongo Kontekstualisasi Nilai dan Tradisi* (pp. 123–128). Walisongo Press.
- Muhsin, N. (1996). Fikr Siyasi 'inda Mu'tazilah. Daar Maarif.
- Nasir, Malik Ahmad. (2004). Hemeneutika Kritis (Studi Kritis atas Pemikiran Habermas). *Jurnal Islamia*, 1(1).
- Nassyar, S. (2015). Nasy'ah Fikr Falsafy fi al-Islam. Daar Maarif.
- Nasution, H. (2015). Islam Rasional. Mizan.
- Owen, D.S. (2012). *Between Reason and History: Habermas and the Idea of Progress*. State University of New York Press.
- Pusey, M. (2021). *Habermas; Dasar dan Konteks Pemikirannya*. Resist Book.
- Qurtuby, Abu Abdillah Muhammad. (n.d.). *Jami' Ahkam Qur`an* (Abdullah (ed.)). Muassasah Risalah.
- Schecter, Darrow. (2010). *The Critique of Instrumental Reason from Weber to Habermas*. The Continuum International Publishing Group.
- Streusand, Douglas E (1997). What does Jihad Mean?. Middle East Quarterly. 9-17.
- Soebahar, M. E., Ghoni, A., & Muhajarah, K. (2020). *Living Hadith: The Congregational Prayers at the Great Mosque of Central Java (MAJT), Indonesia*. https://doi.org/DOI 10.4108/eai.14-10-2020.2303843
- Sumaryono. E (2019). Hermeneutik: Sebuah Metode Filsafat. Kanisius.
- Supena, I. (2022). *Bersahabat dengan Makna Melalui Hermeneutika*. Program Pascasarjana IAIN Walisongo Semarang.
- Suyuthi, Abul Fadhl Jalaluddin Abdurrahman. (n.d.). *Itqan fi 'Ulum Qur*'an. Markaz Dirasah Qur'aniyyah.
- Zayd, N.H.A. (2018). *Ittijah Aqly fi Tafsir*. Markaz Tsaqafy Araby.